

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324689038>

Comparison of optimal point on the sternum for chest compression between obese and normal weight individuals with respect to body mass index, using computer tomography: A retrospec...

Article in *Resuscitation* · April 2018

DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.04.023

CITATION

1

READS

72

8 authors, including:



Juncheol Lee

Hanyang University Medical Center

28 PUBLICATIONS 8 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Jaehoon Oh

Hanyang University

56 PUBLICATIONS 153 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Tae Ho Lim

Hanyang University

122 PUBLICATIONS 510 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Hyunggoo Kang

Hanyang University

77 PUBLICATIONS 290 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Chest injury following cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A prospective computed tomography evaluation [View project](#)



Plasma medicine / New strategy of respiration support during CPR [View project](#)



Clinical paper

Comparison of optimal point on the sternum for chest compression between obese and normal weight individuals with respect to body mass index, using computer tomography: A retrospective study



Juncheol Lee^a, Jaehoon Oh^{a,b,*}, Tae Ho Lim^{a,b}, Hyunggoo Kang^{a,b}, Jung Hwan Park^c, Soon Young Song^d, Ga Hye Shin^d, Yeongtak Song^{a,b}

^a Department of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^b Convergence Technology Centre for Disaster Preparedness, Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^c Department of Endocrinology, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^d Department of Radiology, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Basic life support

Body mass index

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation

Chest compression

Proper hand position

ABSTRACT

Background: Abdominal fatty tissue deposition in obese individuals could alter the proper hand position for chest compression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation, similar to that in pregnant women. This study aimed to identify the difference in body mass index between obese and normal weight individuals by measuring the optimal point of maximal left ventricular diameter (OP^{LV}), using computed tomography (CT).

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of chest CT scans between January 2012 and August 2016 and measured the sternal length and OP^{LV} and estimated the ratio of OP^{LV} to that individual sternal length. We also investigated whether OP^{LV} was within the clinically relevant range of 20 mm to the position advised by the Guidelines 2015. We compared these outcomes between the two groups.

Results: We randomly selected and analysed 50 of 7229 normal weight and 50 of 394 obese individuals from a database. The mean $\pm$ standard deviation of the ratio of OP^{LV} was $22.0 \pm 5.7\%$ and $14.8 \pm 6.6\%$ of the sternal length, as measured from its most caudal point, respectively, for the obese and normal weight groups ($p < 0.001$). Both are more caudal than at the middle point of “the lower half of the sternum” as currently recommended. Notably, 96% of the OP^{LV} in the obese group was within ± 20 mm of the guideline point versus 52% for normal weight group.

Conclusion: OP^{LV} on the sternum in obese individuals was more cranial than that in normal weight individuals. The optimal point for chest compression in obese individuals could be slightly more cranial than that in the others.

Introduction

The cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) guidelines published by the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) emphasise that survival rates and good neurological outcomes of cardiac arrest patients are closely related to the quality of chest compression [1,2]. Hand position is an important factor for high-quality chest compression, and the site is “the lower half of the sternum”, according to current guidelines [1,2]. The optimal hand position has been linked to a position of the maximal diameter and outflow tract of the left ventricle

[3,4]. In addition, these guidelines state that the proper hand position for chest compression in pregnant cardiac arrest patients should be slightly more cranial on the sternum to adjust for the elevation of the diaphragm by the gravid uterus compared to that in non-pregnant patients [5,6].

Obesity is associated with a major risk factor for hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes mellitus, leading to coronary artery disease and heart failure [7,8]. These diseases are associated with an increased number of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in obese patients [9,10]. Accumulation of abdominal fatty tissue in obese patients can cause

Abbreviations: CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ILCOR, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation; BMI, body mass index; CT, computed tomography; PACS, picture archiving and communication system; S, sternal length; OP^{LV}, a point at which is maximal left ventricular diameter in relationship to the sternum; %S, OP^{LV} a percentage of the distance from the distal point of sternum to OP^{LV} in the whole length of that sternum; OP^G, Optimal point on the sternum recommended by Guidelines 2015; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; SD, standard deviation; OHCA, out of hospital cardiac arrest; AHA, American Heart Association

* Corresponding author at: Departments of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, Hanyang University 222 Wangsimni-ro, Seongdong-gu, Seoul, 04763, Republic of Korea.

E-mail address: ojjai@hanmail.net (J. Oh).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.04.023>

Received 20 December 2017; Received in revised form 12 April 2018; Accepted 18 April 2018
0300-9572/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

weakness of tension and raise the diaphragm to a position higher than that in normal weight individuals [11–13]. Compared with other positions, the supine position could cause an enhancement in this effect in obese patients [11]. The proper hand position for high-quality chest compression may have to be shifted to a slightly more cranial place on the sternum in obese cardiac arrest patients, similar to that in pregnant women.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report hand position for chest compression during CPR in obese patients; there is no mention of obese as a specific subgroup potentially requiring adjustments in technique, in the current guidelines. In particular, the influence of body mass index (BMI) on the theoretically best position for chest compression is currently unknown. In this study, we aimed to determine whether that optimal point on the sternum for chest compression in high-BMI individuals is more cranial than that in individuals with normal BMI. We also related the measured position to those suggested by the guidelines and corrected for the width of a caregiver's hand by also scoring the positions within 20 mm above and below the numerically optimal guideline position.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a retrospective study to evaluate the differences in the optimal point of maximal left ventricular diameter (OP^{LV}) level on the sternum between the normal weight ($18.5 \leq BMI < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and obese groups ($BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), using chest computed tomography (CT). This study was performed at one academic tertiary hospital (Seoul, Republic of Korea) in February 2017 and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hanyang University Medical Centre (ref. no. 2016-06-030).

Study participants

We extracted the medical records of 12,390 individuals who underwent chest CT, from January 2012 to August 2016. Inclusion criteria were age > 18 years and no remarkable finding in the chest CT. Individuals with anatomical abnormalities in the chest, trauma-induced deformities, or pulmonary/heart structural deformities were excluded. We classified the selected individuals into low weight, normal weight, overweight, and obese groups, according to BMI. Further, we randomly included 50 individuals in the normal weight group and 50 individuals in the obese group through the Random Integer Set Generator (www.random.org).

We considered that a sample size of at least 100 individuals was required to observe a difference in the proportion of distance from the OP^{LV} level on the sternum to the entire sternal length between the two groups. We conducted a pilot study with 20 individuals who were not included in this study, using the soft package G-power 3.1.9^{*} program (Heine Heinrich University, Düsseldorf, Germany) with an α error of 0.05 and power of 0.95, considering a drop rate of 20%, and found (mean $\pm$ SD) $13.2 \pm 3.4\%$ S- OP^{LV} versus $17.9 \pm 7.7\%$ S- OP^{LV} , respectively, for normal- and high-BMI individuals (effect size, 0.80) (Fig. 1).

Equipment and materials

The two types of CT equipment used in this study were Somatom Definition Flash[®] (Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) and Brilliance 64 multi-detector CT scanner[®] (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands). The setting for the examination was as follows: 120 kVp, 50–80 mAs, 1.15-mm/s table feed, 0.5-s rotation time, 5-mm slice thickness, and 5-mm intervals. All CT images were stored in the picture archiving and communication system (PACS, Centricity, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA).

Data collection

We collected basic information such as sex, age, height, weight and BMI of all individuals. All CT images for each subject were reconstructed and shown as transverse views, sagittal views and coronal views, using PACS. Each image was simultaneously cross-linked to the images with other settings. Two radiologists reconstructed all images of each subject. They measured the following parameters, using a three-dimensional image solution program (Rapidia, version 2.8, Infinite, Seoul, Korea) with consensus (Fig. 2): sternal length (S), measured from the sternal notch to the distal end of the xiphoid process; the point at which the maximal left ventricular diameter projected onto the sternum (OP^{LV}), measured from the distal point of the sternum [3,14,15]; the location of the optimal point per guideline 2015 (OP^G) at 25% of the sternal length measured from its most distal point as the middle point of “the lower half of the sternum” as currently recommended; and the “guidelines area” of 20 mm cranial and caudal of OP^G ; whether OP^{LV} was within guidelines area. This means that the OP^{LV} could be pressed when the performer compresses the OP^G , assuming the direct chest compression theory. This depends on the width of the hypothenar (approximately 40 mm) on which compression force from the hand's heel (approximately 70–80 mm width) is delivered with maximal compression force to the chest in cardiac arrest patients during chest compressions [16,17].

Primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcome was the proportion of OP^{LV} (%S- OP^{LV}), defined as the proportion of the OP^{LV} to S. In addition, S, OP^G , OP^{LV} and the number of times OP^{LV} was applied within the guidelines area were investigated as secondary outcomes.

Statistics

Data were compiled using a standard spreadsheet application (Excel[®] 2016; Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and analysed using SPSS version 21.0 KO for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests were performed to test for normal distribution for all data sets. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the baseline characteristics of the individuals and to present categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. Normally distributed data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD. Student's *t*-tests were used for comparisons of continuous variables, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to analyse categorical variables. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to adjust for influencing factors and investigate the main factor influencing the outcomes [18]. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Fifty of 7229 individuals with normal weight and 50 of 394 obese individuals were recruited in this study; there were no exclusions (Fig. 1). The baseline characteristics of the individuals are summarised in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age or sex between the two groups. As expected, significant differences were observed in height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) between both groups.

The results for CT measurements are summarised in Table 2. %S- OP^{LV} for obese and normal weight individuals were $22.0\% \pm 5.7\%$ and $14.8\% \pm 6.6\%$, respectively ($p < 0.001$). No significant differences in S and OP^G were observed between the two groups. The OP^{LV} was $42.3 \text{ mm} \pm 12.4 \text{ mm}$ in obese individuals and $27.7 \text{ mm} \pm 13.3 \text{ mm}$ in normal weight individuals ($p < 0.001$, Table 2). In obese individuals (48 of 50, 96%), the number of times OP^{LV} fell within the guidelines area was higher than that in normal weight individuals (26 of 50, 52%) ($p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3). We adjusted for the factors that could affect the results of %S- OP^{LV} , using ANCOVA. A significant difference was




Fig. 1. Flowchart of individuals' enrolment.




Fig. 2. Chest CT measurements.

(a) The transverse image of chest CT

(1) Maximal left ventricle diameter, the diameter from the anterior to posterior outline of the base of the left ventricle in the transverse image; (2) maximal left ventricle diameter level, the level on the sternum to observe the maximal left ventricle diameter

(b) The sagittal image of chest CT, which is cross-linked with the transverse image to observe maximal left ventricle

(3) Sternal length (S), expressed in mm and includes the manubrium and xiphoid process; (4) the optimal point by maximal left ventricular diameter (OP^{LV}), projection of this point onto the sternum, expressed in mm cranial to distal point of the sternum

observed in $\%S-OP^{LV}$ between the two groups ($p < 0.001$, Partial Eta squared = 0.250), although factors such as age, sex, and height were adjusted for (Table 3). In particular, there was a significant difference in height between the two groups. However, after adjusting for co-founders, no effect on the result was observed.

Discussion

Several studies have been conducted to evaluate the optimal chest compression depth, compression rate, decompression, and hand

position; in addition, the contents of high-quality chest compression have been accordingly revised in the guidelines [19–21]. Studies evaluating the proper hand position for chest compression began in the 1960s [22], and several studies were performed using CT or echocardiography [4,14,15,23]. In this study, we investigated the association between elevation of the optimal point of the left ventricle and obese individuals, using chest CT with a three-dimensional image solution program.

In the recent CPR guidelines by ILCOR, “the lower half of the sternum” was the recommended site for proper hand position for chest

Table 1
Baseline characteristics.

	Normal weight (n = 50)	Obesity (n = 50)	p value
Age, years	51.0 ± 9.4	51.3 ± 10.7	0.87
Male, n	17 (34.0%)	21 (52.0%)	0.07
Height, cm	162.7 ± 7.8	167.8 ± 10.4	0.008*
Weight, kg	55.2 ± 7.1	103.0 ± 18.2	< 0.001*
Body mass index	20.8 ± 1.6	36.4 ± 4.3	< 0.001*

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number (proportion).

*A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Table 2
Comparison of outcomes between the normal weight and obese groups.

	Normal weight (n = 50)	Obese (n = 50)	p value
Proportion of OP ^{LV} to the S (%S-OP ^{LV}), %	14.8 ± 6.6	22.0 ± 5.7	< 0.001*
Sternal length (S), mm	183.6 ± 21.6	191.7 ± 19.6	0.05
Optimal point by Guidelines 2015 (OP ^G), mm	45.9 ± 5.4	47.9 ± 4.9	0.05
Optimal point by left ventricular diameter (OP ^{LV}), mm	27.7 ± 13.3	42.3 ± 12.4	< 0.001*

Abbreviations: S, sternal length; OP^{LV}, optimal point by maximal left ventricular diameter.

Values are presented as mean ± SD.

*A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

compression [1,2]. However, the hand position for chest compressions during pregnancy is recommended to be slightly more cranial than that in general adult chest compressions [5,6]. Although elevation of the diaphragm is caused by the gravid uterus, conclusions leading to the elevation of the left ventricle are unclear. The prevalence of obesity in the United States continues to be high, exceeding 30% in most sex and age groups [24]. Obese individuals have an increased risk of death from heart disease, stroke, and cancer [7,8,25,26]. We demonstrated that the optimal point of maximal left ventricular diameter projected onto the sternum was more cranial for the obese than for the normal weight groups.

According to the 2005 American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines, the rescuer should place the heel of the hand on the sternum in the center of the chest between the nipples [27]. The 2010 guidelines

were revised to accommodate the recommendation of the “lower half of the sternum” below the inter-nipple line [1,14,28]. However, Shin et al. reported that the %S-OP^{LV} is only $13.6 \pm 7.3\%$ for men and $12.9 \pm 8.5\%$ for women [14]. Cha et al. suggested that the level containing the largest area of the left ventricle is the sternoxiphoid junction [15]. In our study, the optimal position on the sternum in both groups is more caudal than the middle point of “the lower half of the sternum” suggested in the guidelines. We suggest that a more caudal point would be better to be compressed than “lower half of the sternum”, assuming that the left ventricle is placed under the midline of the sternum. It is more difficult for rescuers to perform high-quality CPR on obese people than on normal weight individuals [29,30]. To compress a more caudal point may also aid compression depth because the sternum hinges at the manubrium. However, cases of left ventricle under the midline of sternum are rare [31]. Additional studies are required to decide the proper hand position for chest compression in consideration of both the thoracic pump mechanism and the direct chest compression theory.

There were several limitations to this study. First, the CT images were not obtained during real CPR situations. We did not consider the possible relocation of thoracic structures due to chest compression or positive pressure ventilation during CPR. Second, because chest CT is performed with the arms raised, the sternum would have moved to a high position (about 8.4 mm) [32]. Third, chest CT is performed with breath being inspired and held during scan. For this reason, the diaphragm moves caudally and the anterior chest wall moves cranially while holding the breath. The values of the results would be affected by these factors [33]. Fourth, in our study, we measured the optimal chest compression site. However, we could not find where the optimal hand position is on the sternum according to the thoracic pump mechanism. Fifth, minor measurement errors could have occurred, because all distances were measured using a straight line on sagittal images without considering the curvature. Finally, it is essential for studies about survival rates and neurologic outcomes to be applied to actual cardiac arrest patients. However, there are many limitations to be considered. Because our study is also a simulation-based study using CT, we could not affirm that the outcomes of our study are unconditionally correct or confirm that they could be applied directly to actual patients.

Conclusion

OP^{LV} on the sternum of obese individuals was more cranial than that in normal weight individuals.




Fig. 3. Figure shows the optimal point based on the maximal left ventricular diameter (OP^{LV}) shown in relationship to the optimal point suggested by Guidelines 2015 (OP^G) at 25% of sternal length above its most distal point. Whether OP^{LV} was within the guidelines area was expressed by the number of inclusion (%); X-axis: body mass index (kg/m²), Y-axis: the difference between OP^{LV} and OP^G (mm). The plus means that the OP^{LV} is more cranial on the sternum than OP^G, whereas the minus means the OP^{LV} is more caudal on the sternum than OP^G.

Table 3
Influencing factors for the proportion of OP^{LV} to the S (%S-OP^{LV}).

	p-value ANCOVA	Partial eta squared, η^2
Age	0.87	< 0.001
Sex	0.08	0.03
Height	0.24	0.02
Two groups for BMI	< 0.001*	0.25

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; S, sternal length; OP^{LV}, optimal point by left ventricular diameter; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance.

*A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

In both obese and normal weight individuals, the optimal position on the sternum is more caudal than the middle point of “the lower half of the sternum” suggested by Guidelines 2015. The optimal point for chest compression in obese individuals would be slightly more cranial than that for normal weight individuals.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

J.L. contributed to this study as the first author, and J.O. contributed as the corresponding author. J.L. and J.O. conceived the study and designed the trial. H.K., T.H.L. and J.H.P. supervised the trial conduct and were involved in data collection. S.Y.S. and G.H.S. analysed all images and data. J.L. and Y.S. drafted the manuscript, and all authors contributed substantially to its revision. J.O. takes the responsibility for the content of the paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2017R1C1B5017116).

We thank Professor Nam E in the Biostatistical Consulting and Research Lab, Hanyang University for assistance with statistical analysis.

References

- [1] Kleinman ME, Brennan EE, Goldberger ZD, Swor RA, Terry M, Bobrow BJ, et al. Part 5: adult basic life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality. *Circulation* 2015;132:S414–35.
- [2] Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, Castrén M, Smyth MA, Olasveengen T, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:81–99.
- [3] Sayre MR, Koster RW, Botha M, Cave DM, Cudnik MT, Handley AJ, et al. Part 5: adult basic life support. *Circulation* 2010;122:S298–324.
- [4] Hwang SO, Zhao PG, Choi HJ, Park KH, Cha KC, Park SM, et al. Compression of the left ventricular outflow tract during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Acad Emerg Med* 2009;16:928–33.
- [5] Vanden Hoek TL, Morrison LJ, Shuster M, Donnino M, Sinz E, Lavonas EJ, et al. Part 12: cardiac arrest in special situations. *Circulation* 2010;122:S829–61.
- [6] Lavonas EJ, Drennan IR, Gabrielli A, Heffner AC, Hoyte CO, Orkin AM, et al. Part 10: special circumstances of resuscitation. *Circulation* 2015;132:S501–18.
- [7] Islam MS, Hossain MA. Obesity and cardiovascular disease risk factors, paradox and impact of weight loss. *KYAMC J* 2017;5:476–9.
- [8] Hubert HB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26-year follow-up of participants in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1983;67:968–77.
- [9] Empana J, Ducimetiere P, Charles M, Jouven X. Sagittal abdominal diameter and risk of sudden death in asymptomatic middle-aged men. *Circulation* 2004;110:2781–5.
- [10] Jouven X, Desnos M, Guerot C, Ducimetiere P. Predicting sudden death in the population. *Circulation* 1999;99:1978–83.
- [11] Parameswaran K, Todd DC, Soth M. Altered respiratory physiology in obesity. *Can Respir J* 2006;13:203–10.
- [12] Zammit C, Liddicoat H, Moonsie I, Makker H. Obesity and respiratory diseases. *Int J Gen Med* 2010;3:335.
- [13] Mathew B, Francis L, Kayalar A, Cone J. Obesity: effects on cardiovascular disease and its diagnosis. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21:562–8.
- [14] Shin J, Rhee JE, Kim K. Is the inter-nipple line the correct hand position for effective chest compression in adult cardiopulmonary resuscitation? *Resuscitation* 2007;75:305–10.
- [15] Cha KC, Kim YJ, Shin HJ, Cha YS, Kim H, Lee KH, et al. Optimal position for external chest compression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: an analysis based on chest CT in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest. *Emerg Med J* 2013;30:615–9.
- [16] Choi H, Lee CC, Kim HJ, Singer AJ. Identifying the optimal hand placement site for chest compression by measuring hand width and sternal length in young adults. *Am J Emerg Med* 2016;34:407–11.
- [17] Baubin M, Kollmitzer J, Pomaroli A, Krancuk P, Kranz A, Sumann G, et al. Force distribution across the heel of the hand during simulated manual chest compression. *Resuscitation* 1997;35:259–63.
- [18] Bland JM, Altman DG. Best (but oft forgotten) practices: testing for treatment effects in randomized trials by separate analyses of changes from baseline in each group is a misleading approach. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;102:991–4.
- [19] Yang Z, Li H, Yu T, Chen C, Xu J, Chu Y, et al. Quality of chest compressions during compression-only CPR: a comparative analysis following the 2005 and 2010 American Heart Association guidelines. *Am J Emerg Med* 2014;32:50–4.
- [20] Idris AH, Guffey D, Pepe PE, Brown SP, Brooks SC, Callaway CW, et al. Chest compression rates and survival following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43:840–8.
- [21] Turner I, Turner S, Armstrong V. Does the compression to ventilation ratio affect the quality of CPR: a simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2002;52:55–62.
- [22] Kouwenhoven WB, Jude JR, Knickerbocker GG. Closed-chest cardiac massage. *JAMA* 1960;173:1064–7.
- [23] Thaler MM, Stobie GH. An improved technique of external cardiac compression in infants and young children. *Am Heart J* 1964;67:844.
- [24] Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Trends in obesity among adults in the United States, 2005–2014. *JAMA* 2016;315:2284–91.
- [25] Berrington de Gonzalez A, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, Flint AJ, Hannan L, MacInnis RJ, et al. Body-mass index and mortality among 1.46 million white adults. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:2211–9.
- [26] Prospective Studies Collaboration. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2009;373:1083–96.
- [27] American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care Part 4: adult basic life support. *Circulation* 2005;112. IV-19-IV-34.
- [28] Kusunoki S, Tanigawa K, Kondo T, Kawamoto M, Yuge O. Safety of the inter-nipple line hand position landmark for chest compression. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:1175–80.
- [29] Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kim S, Vaden Hoek TL, Becker LB. The effects of obesity on CPR quality and survival after cardiac arrest: abstract to american heart association scientific session 2006. *Circulation* 2006;114:II-1199.
- [30] Tellson A, Qin H, Erwin K, Houston S. Efficacy of acute care health care providers in cardiopulmonary resuscitation compressions in normal and obese adult simulation manikins. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2017;30:415–8.
- [31] Pickard A, Darby M, Soar J. Radiological assessment of the adult chest: implications for chest compressions. *Resuscitation* 2006;71:387–90.
- [32] Al-Abed Y, Curtin J, Clark A. Change in the z-axis location of the sternal notch in an arms-raised vs arms-down position on CT examinations. *Br J Radiol* 2008;81:855–8.
- [33] Kolar P, Neuwirth J, Sanda J, Suchanek V, Svata Z, Volejnik J, et al. Analysis of diaphragm movement during tidal breathing and during its activation while breath holding using MRI synchronized with spirometry. *Physiol Res* 2009;58:383–92.